

Message

From: Bolen, Brittany [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=31E872A691114372B5A6A88482A66E48-BOLEN, BRIT]
Sent: 6/8/2018 4:16:46 PM
To: Letendre, Daisy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b691cccca6264ae09df7054c7f1019cb-Letendre, D]
Subject: Fwd: APPROVAL ...

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Yamada, Richard (Yujiro)" <yamada.richard@epa.gov>
Date: June 8, 2018 at 12:08:09 PM EDT
To: "Jackson, Ryan" <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>
Cc: "Wilcox, Jahan" <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>, "Bolen, Brittany" <bolen.brittany@epa.gov>, "Block, Molly" <block.molly@epa.gov>, "Abboud, Michael" <abboud.michael@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: APPROVAL ...

(Email contains predecisional and deliberative matter - do not quote or cite)

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2018, at 11:42 AM, Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Ryan Jackson
Chief of Staff
U.S. EPA

Personal Matters / Ex. 6

On Jun 8, 2018, at 11:35 AM, Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Coral Davenport [<mailto:coral.davenport@nytimes.com>]
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 8:56 AM
To: Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: moment to chat?

Hey, there - can you send? Tks!

Coral Davenport
Energy and Environment Correspondent
The New York Times
Washington Bureau
1627 I St. NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
coral.davenport@nytimes.com
O 202-862-0359
C 703-618-0645
Twitter @CoralMDavenport

On Jun 7, 2018, at 7:34 PM, Wilcox, Jahan
<wilcox.jahan@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

On Jun 7, 2018, at 5:19 PM, Coral Davenport
<coral.davenport@nytimes.com> wrote:

Awesome, thank you. If someone cld
get back to me tonight or tomoro by
like 10 am that would be perfect.
Story is currently slated to run over
the weekend.

Coral Davenport
Energy and Environment
Correspondent
The New York Times
Washington Bureau
1627 I St. NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20006
coral.davenport@nytimes.com
O 202-862-0359
C 703-618-0645
Twitter @CoralMDavenport

On Jun 7, 2018, at 5:14 PM, Wilcox,
Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
wrote:

What is your
deadline? I am going
to flag this for our
policy shop and circle
back.

From: Davenport, Coral
[mailto:coral.davenport@nytimes.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 7,
2018 3:53 PM

To: Wilcox, Jahan
<wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
>

Subject: moment to
chat?

Hi, Jahan,

I'm working on a
story looking into
concerns by the
scientific community
that the Trump

administration is marginalizing and dismissing science. The story looks at examples from across the federal government, but many of them are at the E.P.A.

What are the administrator's responses to the following questions on this? Looking for fresh reax or would welcome your pointing me to specific remarks he's made on these. Overall, interested in his remarks on the allegation that he has reduced or ignored the role of science at the agency.

- Scientists are concerned that Mr. Pruitt has made multiple public statements on climate change that are at odds with decades of research on climate change, including research by the E.P.A.'s own scientists. Mr. Pruitt has said that carbon dioxide is not a primary contributor to global warming, and that scientists don't know how much humans contribute to global warming -- both statements that have been contested by the scientific community. What's his response?

- Critics say the proposed new "secret science" rule would ultimately have the impact of reducing the amount of scientific evidence that is used to formulate regulations that affect human health. Does this concern him?

- Can he speak to the criticism that in his efforts to change the Scientific Advisory Board, he has reduced the role of academic science and replaced it with industry-funded scientists? And that even with its new composition, the SAB has proposed re-examining the scientific basis for some of his proposed rule-makings?

- Critics say Pruitt's NAAQs memo to the CASAC, which would order the committee to take economic impacts of regulations into account, is both an effort to skirt provisions of the Clean Air Act which require the committee to focus on the public health impacts of regulations, and could lead to looser pollution rules that are not based in scientific evidence on the impact of certain

pollutants on human
health.

Can you send answers
to these and give a
call to chat about the
story?

Best,
Coral

--

Coral Davenport
Energy and
Environment
Correspondent
The New York Times
Washington Bureau
1627 I St. NW, Suite
700
Washington, DC
20006
coral.davenport@nytimes.com
O 202-862-0359
C 703-618-0645
Twitter
@CoralMDavenport